

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,206	04/25/2001	Tatsuya Sasazawa	KOT-0029 8817	
23413 7	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002		BASHORE, ALAIN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			1762	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,206	SASAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alain L. Bashore	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea		ou in international orage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,				

Application/Control Number: 09/843,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 30-38 recites "system" which is vague and indefinite since the common meaning of the term does not clearly determine the statutory class of invention. Since the term system may encompass more than one statutory class, there is a requirement for an indication on the record as to what statutory class of invention the "system" claims belong to (see MPEP 2106.IV.B). The statutory provision for this requirement may be found in 35 U.S.C 101 that recites the statutory classes of invention.

For the purposes of this examination these claims are considered apparatus.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,206 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

4. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because there is claimed a non-statutory subject matter.

A computer program must be claimed as a computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure. There must be positively recited in the body of the claim at least one recitation defining structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components (a useful, concrete and tangible result produced). This permits the data structure's functionality to be realized, as more than a manipulation of an abstract idea [*In re Wamerdam*, 33 F.3d 1354; 31 USPQ2d 1754 (Fed. Cir. 1994)].

Since the claims do not include that a computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure, there is claimed solely software.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 30-32, 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitano et al in view of Wong et al.

Art Unit: 1762

Kitano et al discloses an economical data processing system. Virtual space is formed and used with a network includes a plurality of computers connected to each other (col 6, lines 28-67). Value information stores value information representing value provided in the virtual space and the value information is delivered in the virtual space (col 19, lines 10-67;, col 20, lines 12-5). Value of the value information is represented as value data (col 7, lines 33-35) and value information storing stores said valuable information in relation with said value data. A value information evaluation evaluates a value of said valuable information so as to generate said value data of said valuable information (col 7, lines 40-46). The value information may be image information (col 6, lines 38-43).

There is not explicitly disclosed to Kitano et al:

virtual currency;

value information;

virtual currency evaluating, and,

currency exchanging.

Wong et al discloses: virtual currency (col 6, lines20-33), virtual currency evaluation (col 6, lines 41-48) for exchanging said virtual currency with said real currency (col 8, lines 54-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,206

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include

Page 5

forming virtual currency to Kitano et al because Wong et al teaches virtual currency is

desirable for network systems rather than conventional means of payment (col 1, lines

30-42).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include value

information storage and evaluation to Kitano et al because Kitano et al teaches that a

virtual currency is used in virtual space to make purchases (col 2, lines 8-11).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a

virtual currency evaluation to Kitano et al because Wong et al teaches evaluation

required of virtual currency before it may be stored (col 7, lines 12-16)

7. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Kitano et al in view of Wong et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of

Martinez et al.

Kitano et al in view of Wong et al does not explicitly disclose:

a ID data generating.

Art Unit: 1762

Martinez et al discloses a ID data generating and ID data determination (fig 9 and col 21, lines 55-67; col 22, lines 1-67) for use in transactions. Martinez et al also discloses rewards (col 10, lines 12-39) utilized by transaction users.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a ID data generating because Martinez et al teaches that valid IDs are required for a proper transaction (col 22, lines 61-62).

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Regarding all Class 705 applications, the management contact regarding examination is: Vincent Millin (SPE, art unit 3624) at 571-272-6747.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,206 Page 7

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762